

DTI:Planning Review

From: Johns, Tyler (DTI)
Sent: Wednesday, 24 August 2022 10:09 AM
To: DTI:Planning Review
Subject: FW: Deemed to Satisfy

OFFICIAL

Tyler Johns
Principal Advisor

Business Services
Planning and Land Use Services
Department for Trade and Investment

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



**Miscellaneous Technical Enhancement
Code Amendment now on consultation**

From: Robert Walsh <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, 14 August 2022 10:15 AM
To: DTI:Plan SA <plansa@sa.gov.au>; Gill, Shane (Council) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Deemed to Satisfy

Ref- Planning & Design Code Review
Deemed to Satisfy
Submission

John Stimson
Presiding Member,
Planning System Implementation Review

I would like the opportunity to support the interpretation of Deemed to Satisfy.

Deemed-To-Satisfy means a non- mandatory provision which describes a method of design or construction that is deemed to comply with a particular functional regulation or aesthetic requirement.
Aesthetic- Pleasant, positive or artful appearance

I question if the referrals that are triggered in the Planning Code actually consider if an application is deemed to satisfy in a non mandatory provision in an application that will be pleasant, positive and artful appearance.

A simple change to the Planning Code.

Planning, Development and Infrastructure

Referrals- Schedule 9

3-Development near the Coast

(a) In the Coastal Areas Overlay under the Planning & Design Code

Submission-

Referrals-Schedule 9

In the Coastal Areas Overlay under the Planning & Design Code a referral for development , Schedule 9 (a) will not be necessary if the referral is deemed to satisfy the general public and local government council in a non mandatory provision in an application that will be pleasant, positive and artful appearance.

Yours Faithfully

Rob Walsh

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Rob Walsh.

14/August/2022

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)