

From: [REDACTED]
To: [DPTI:Planning Reform Submissions](#)
Subject: Planning Reform Submission
Date: Friday, 28 February 2020 7:06:47 PM

My primary concerns are:

Transport:

- Maximise opportunities for cyclists within CBD and suburbs – increase bike lanes and bike racks at regular intervals in city streets, parks and shopping centres
- ALL people to have access to public transport particularly for travelling to/from city and workplaces.

Access to public transport is specifically mentioned in the affordable living/housing category. Surely this then ascribes use of public transport to persons fitting into a 'category' rather than public transport being encouraged and becoming commonplace within the whole community. Increase use of public transport could minimise use of car traffic – and need to widen roads.

Provisions made in developments to widen driveways and garages to accommodate car movements – can we encourage a rethink on the number of cars per household are really needed?

Green space

- I live in a suburb with lots of street plantings of trees and a number of small park areas. This should be repeated in all areas. The suburb is noticeably cooler in hotter months.
- All housing (and ideally other) developments should have enough green space to allow for water to drain into soil and not just gush away from concrete and into storm water drains.

Affordable housing

Not sure if this is targeted at low income or no (paid work) income households.

Affordable Housing Overlay – this is calculated at 15% of certain areas; residential dwellings of 20+ and the usual provisions won't have to apply so the housing can be on the smallest possible site with increased building height.

Apparently this affordable housing will promote stability, happiness, optimism etc....

Couldn't affordable housing be spread throughout the whole built environments/suburban areas/townships and have residential dwellings of up to 20 and not increased height?

I fear this could lead to building of large concrete boxes.

Variations to environment and food production areas –

I note areas can be varied on inquiry or review – I truly hope this doesn't mean fertile crop production areas can be varied for mining purposes.

I may have other concerns. The planning reform is complex and it's difficult to interpret and comment on everything!

Charmaine Osborne
Parkside resident