

DIT:Planning Reform Submissions

From: DIT:Plan SA
Sent: Friday, 18 December 2020 5:59 PM
To: DIT:Planning Reform Submissions
Subject: FW: Re Residention Infill

From: Jeanie Czukli [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 18 December 2020 5:39 PM
To: DIT:Plan SA <PlanSA@sa.gov.au>
Subject: Re Residention Infill

I am absolutely certain that we need as more space than infill, for air quality, less traffic noise and noise from neighbours. This is for the resident's well being. What gain is there from reducing space for residents when it will create other problems later?

Already there are problems with too much traffic, noise and arguments between neighbours because of infill. As there are already many empty workplaces in the council area as well as the city, we should be utilising these existing premises for accommodation instead of using up even more precious land that should be used for productive gardens and space between dwelling.

It is not healthy for people to be looking out at walls.

I'm also disappointed that the emphasis has been on freeways, overpasses and tunnels as a fixit job for allowing the city to become so congested. Where is the improved public transport?

Adelaide is becoming bland and ugly and losing its unique character. What a shame for such a lovely city to become like any other large city. Do we no longer have any pride in the excellent layout of its design and its history? Shouldn't we think of the quality of living for residents? Shouldn't we consider the reasons visitors are attracted to the city? I'm not sure you are consulting with the people who consider these things. A plan needs to be thought out from all angles.

A city need not lose its beauty if the design is thought out properly.

Jean Czukli