
From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, 25 November 2019 12:54 PM
To: DPTI:Planning Reform Submissions
Subject: feedback re: proposed zoning changes.

Categories: Red Category

To whom it may concern, (hint, that's ALL Australian people)

Many current conservation parks appear to have been proposed to be re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full.

I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

All National Park and Wildlife National Parks, Conservation Parks, Recreation Parks, Heritage Agreements, ForestrySA Native Vegetation Reserves, Sanctuaries and other public land with native vegetation should remain zoned as Conservation and not rezoned Rural or any other type of zone that could change how they are currently zoned and/or protected.

Yours sincerely and passionately,

Angus Nicholson

Consultation Submission Form

COMPLETE

Collector: Web_Link_Prod (Web Link)
Started: Monday, November 25, 2019 12:17:45 PM
Last Modified: Monday, November 25, 2019 12:42:24 PM
Time Spent: 00:24:38
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Statewide code

Page 2: Planning and Design Code for South AustraliaPersonal Details

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name **Angus Nicholson**
State **South Australia**
Postcode **5161**
Country **Australia**
Email Address [REDACTED]

Page 3: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with? **General Public**

Page 4: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q4 Would you like to make comment on **Specific Topics for example : - Rules of Interpretation - Zones and Sub-zones - Overlays - General Provision - Mapping Land Use Definitions - Administrative Definitions - Referrals - Table of Amendments**

Page 5: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation **Respondent skipped this question**

Consultation Submission Form

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlaysclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Native Vegetation Overlay

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzonesclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Consultation Submission Form

Conservation Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Hills Face Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Consultation Submission Form

Open Space Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Rural Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Consultation Submission Form

Rural Living Zone

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Consultation Submission Form

Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policyclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Open Space and Recreation General Policy

Many current conservation partks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect currrent conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q12 Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitionclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Consultation Submission Form

Q13 Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitions click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Native vegetation

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full. I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Page 11: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

Many current conservation parks appear to have been re-zoned into things such as 'rural living', 'hills face', 'open space' or other zoning types that would appear to allow some future development and loss of conservation status and natural areas. I am disgusted at this and would expect that absolutely all current conservation parks are kept zoned only for conservation and protected for the long term. We should be adding more conservation areas to the very few spaces we already have, not removing them and allowing for more farming or housing. The choice to re-zone these very important and under threat areas is a cynical move to slowly reduce conservation areas and increase housing, farming or other activities on those areas. I strongly oppose this move and request that you reconsider and protect current conservation areas completely and in full.

I actually completely surprised and disgusted at how many current conservation parks and recreation parks you are proposing will be re-zoned into areas that could end up being used for other purposes and damaging them for generations to come. There are too many parks and conservation areas proposed for change for me to list here, hence my emphatic and passionate request that ALL current conservation and national parks be left alone and continue to be protected and zoned appropriately.

Page 12: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Respondent skipped this question
