

1. Where there is a development of two or more dwellings on a block of land that previously only had one house on it, the State Government should make a ruling that there is an appropriate reasonably size plot area of the land that can only be used for gardens (In Europe it is done regularly) This will green Adelaide and cool it. (Limits to what percentage that a property can be built on or paved over)
2. The Councils should be given more power as to the preservation of significant trees, especially when they are on creeks and reserves. These trees should not have dwellings built up to their drip line and should not be pruned because of the new dwelling safety. (This is so that the Council does not get sued by developers)  
(Protection for significant trees should be strengthened)
3. There should be no loop holes for developers to put too many dwellings on land eg. No 2 type applications to Council should allow an appeal due to environmental issues
4. The State Government should plan for that 100 year flood on creeks when new development changes the area that would be flooded.
5. There should be common driveway for multiple dwelling

6. The new dwellings should be set back from the footpath several more metres.
7. The State Government should change the land use rating <sup>or zoning</sup> 'close to creeks', so that the creeks are not polluted.
8. Any authoritative decision makers should be well qualified and vested with the idea to make a healthy green environment.
9. Urban infill is worse than urban sprawl. It ~~creates~~ creates a slum look and over crowding and there is no room for beauty of trees and garden which has been proven good for health.
10. There should be a limit to ~~the~~ the number of dwellings (as in Hamburg Germany, where there are large <sup>and green</sup> ~~tree~~ areas where tenists are built).
11. The height of dwellings should be restricted to no more than a double storied building.