

Important Points to convey to the State Planning Commission (including corridor creep)

This document is intended to provide guidance to people providing a submission to the State Planning Commission in response to the proposed planning changes.

Critical date: before 5pm Friday 28 February 2020

Who to contact: DPTI.PlanningReformSubmissions@sa.gov.au

In response to the draft Planning and Design Code – phase 3, I wish to register my strong objections in relation to the issues outlined below.

- I, Vanessa Jaensch currently live at [REDACTED], Cowandilla within West Torrens Residential Zone, specifically Cowandilla/Mile End Character Area 23.
- The most similar 'like for like' zone in the planning reforms is the 'Suburban Neighbourhood Zone', with character overlay.
- As a part of the planning reforms, it is proposed that parts of Mile End, Torrensville, Cowandilla and Hilton, where I live, be rezoned as 'Housing Diversity Neighbourhood Zone'. There is no rationale for this proposal as is not consistent with my neighbouring suburbs with similar history, heritage and character, nor is it 'like for like' what we have now.
- The intent of the current zone is that blocks will be low-very low density. This is inconsistent with the objectives of the 'Housing Diversity Neighbourhood Zone', which states that this area may apply to existing medium density policy areas.
- The current zone clearly states that the area will be comprised predominately of detached and semi-detached dwellings. This is fundamentally inconsistent with the objectives of the 'Housing Diversity Neighbourhood Zone', which provides replacing existing dwellings with medium density housing, primarily in the form of terrace housing, group dwellings or residential flat buildings.
- The 1996 Heritage Review contains an overview of some of the rich history that provides the foundation for our suburb. This will be lost if you do not give appropriate regard to the more appropriate zone as a part of the planning reforms.
- My area should be transitioned to 'Suburban Neighbourhood Zone', in keeping with the rest of the suburb.
- All other Character Policy Areas other than this area are proposed to transition to the Suburban Neighbourhood Zone.

Points on the Code/consultation process to convey

- The draft code is complex and difficult for lay people to navigate.
- The materials produced in support of the draft code are not produced in plain English, there has been no provision of information that provides a clear rationale for why this area is being treated the way it is. Therefore, there has been no opportunity for meaningful community participation for such a significant change. The reasons for the proposed change to move to a zone that is not 'like for like' have been absent, contradictory or non-existent.
- As such, the requirements in the Community Engagement Charter and section 44 of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 (SA)* have clearly not been complied with.
- I am extremely concerned that if the proposed changes proceed for my area as a 'Housing Diversity Neighbourhood Zone', the amenity and character of this beautiful, historic suburb and its close community will be destroyed.

Corridor Creep

- Under the proposed planning changes, the Urban Corridor Zone – High Street Policy Area 35 (being the zone along Henley Beach Road between South Road and Marion Road) will be proposed to be an “Urban Corridor Main Street” Zone.
- There is important background context that must be considered as a part of the reforms for this area.
- At the time that the Urban Corridor Zone was introduced for the City of West Torrens, all of the collateral that was being used for consultation with the community expressly implied that the development changes being proposed was for **along** the corridor itself (being Henley Beach Road), meaning that it must have corridor frontage and that what was being proposed was changes for development along the corridor.
- Parts of the current zone are limited to Henley Beach Road Frontage (all of the Eastern Side of South Road, but the majority of the Southern side of South Road extending through to streets such as Norma Street and Rankine Road which are largely residential from the western end of Falcon Ave.
- Since the changes to the Urban Corridor Zone has been introduced, it is clear that what was being consulted on in 2013 and 2015 was misleading, as developments have been proposed that are not along the corridor itself. This is causing significant angst for the community and lots of people are now considering selling and moving out of fear about what the suburb is going to become (‘Zone Creep’).
- There are two options need to be included in the changes as a part of the “Urban Corridor Main Street” Zone, namely:
 - ensure that the developments within the corridor zone must have corridor frontage, rather than simply be along the suburban back streets with no contact along the corridor; and
 - revise the boundary for the new Zone for the areas of the current corridor zone are residential, meaning west of Falcoln Avenue along Norma Avenue should be treated as Suburban Neighbourhood Zone (photo of the streetscape along this street below).
Attachment 1 shows the current corridor and the red line shows an example of where the zone needs to be changed to ensure the zone remains along the urban corridor and the current streetscape.
- If what is requested above is not able to be considered as a part of the planning changes to fix the ‘Zone Creep’, it is requested that further consultation occur with the community on what the impact would be if the zone west of South Road along Henley Beach Road be zoned as ‘Urban Corridor Living’ Zone.

Attachment 1 – example of urban corridor that needs to be addressed – West of south road should be consistent with Henley Beach road east of South Road. Rankine Road – Urban Corridor creeps too far into Rankine Road. These developments have lead people to sell their homes due to anxiety. Norma Street facing west– the houses to the right are within the Urban Corridor.

