

COMPLETE

Collector: Web_Link_Prod (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 10:16:15 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 11:08:46 PM
Time Spent: 00:52:30
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Urban code. (click here for council areas)

Page 2: Planning and Design Code for South AustraliaPersonal Details

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name	Mary Rumbold
Address	[REDACTED]
Your Council Area	Unley
Suburbs/Town	Malvern
State	SA
Postcode	5061
Email Address	[REDACTED]

Page 3: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with? **Community Group**

Page 4: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q4 Would you like to make comment on **General comments**

Page 5: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation **Respondent skipped this question**

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlaysclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzonesclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policyclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q12 Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitionclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q13 Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitionsclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

This Code is fundamentally flawed & needs TOTAL revision.

With the heating of the planet the urban infill proposed with demolition of solid brick houses surrounded by trees & vegetation for poorly built townhouses with no /limited vegetation & dependent on air conditioning is totally at odds with what science is telling us about the importance of double brick houses with vegetation which have lower ambient temperatures than densely built town houses with minimal vegetation.

Adelaide will become an ugly hot city if the Code proceeds as history tells us it was in the 1890's with the demolition of the trees & other vegetation.

The Code does not have adequate protection for our heritage buildings, no protections for contributory items and no protection for our historic streetscapes. These make Adelaide's older suburbs unique.

There is no clear protection for our significant & regulated trees .

The Code is absolutely supine to the development industry & allows developers to ruin the suburbs with houses the public DO NOT want. I have been collecting signatures for the petition to parliament for review of the Code & the feedback is so common about the awfulness of the 2,3 7 for one developments.

The Code removes people from decision making about their suburbs & gives all power to an unelected group who are not accountable to the public. It is hostile to & contemptuous of the democratic process.

3 of the State Planning Commissioners are still associated with the development industry so the independence of the Commissioners is questionable.

As the problems with certification of high rise towers in Sydney & the recent SA investigations into private licences assessors highlight, giving certification powers to independent people can give rise to graft, corruption & risks to the public. Local councils have significant expertise & its officers have better scrutiny.

The electronic system is very difficult to use.

Page 12: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Respondent skipped this question
