

Planning System Implementation Review (2022)	
Discussion Paper - PDI Act Reform Options	
<u>Local Heritage</u>	
1. What would be the implications of having the heritage process managed by heritage experts through the Heritage Places Act (rather than planners under the PDI Act)?	Introduces another complicated mechanism to manage, planners seeking and receiving advice from heritage experts is satisfactory (as currently exists).
2. What would be the implications of sections 67(4) and 67(5) of the PDI Act being commenced ?	Preserving heritage character areas for the broader community and/or future generations should not be decided by a numerical, popularity vote.
Discussion Paper - Planning & Design Code Reform Options	
<u>Character and Heritage</u>	
3. What are your views on introducing a development assessment pathway to only allow for demolition of a building in a Character Area (and Historic Area) once a replacement building has been approved?	Agree.
<u>Design Guidelines for Infill Policy</u>	
1. Do you think there would be benefit in exploring alternative forms of infill development? If not, why not? If yes, what types of infill development do you think would be suitable in South Australia?	Yes, Council and the community continually seek improvements in the design outcomes for residential infill.
<u>Design Guidelines for Strategic Planning</u>	
2. What are the best mechanisms for ensuring good strategic alignment between regional plans and how the policies of the Code are applied spatially?	Concept Plans and/or Structure Plans being included and specifically referenced within the Code and introduced localised policy applicable to specific areas. Such vision is also to be reflected and connected within other Strategic Planning documents (Regional and State level). Consistent and clear terminology audit throughout the Code is required e.g. policy relating to understanding building height, floor levels, natural ground levels etc.
3. What should the different roles and responsibilities of State and local government and	Strategic planning emphasis to be provided at a high level from the State Government;

<p>the private sector be in undertaking strategic planning?</p>	<p>Regional between adjoining/aligned Councils; specifically provided within each Council. All must align and shared transparent research, data, methodology, information, resources e.g. GIS etc. Require higher priority and resources to be applied in the strategic planning area, potentially reflected and emphasised more via the PDI Act 2016.</p>
<p><u>Electric Vehicles</u></p>	
<p>1. EV charging stations are not specifically identified as a form of development in the PDI Act. Should this change, or should the installation of EV charging stations remain unregulated, thereby allowing installation in any location?</p>	<p>Unsure of the broader impact, as this requires wider consideration concerning its implications and appropriate policy approach from both a National and State Government perspective.</p>
<p>2. If EV charging stations became a form of development, there are currently no dedicated policies within the Code that seek to guide the design of residential or commercial car parking arrangements in relation to EV charging infrastructure. Should dedicated policies be developed to guide the design of EV charging infrastructure?</p>	<p>Potentially yes, similar to DDA car parking and/or provided as a minimal number as a ratio of new dwellings proposed. It would require the creation of new design criteria, standards and address their interaction with heritage places.</p>
<p><u>Car Parking Off-Set Schemes</u></p>	
<p>3. What types of projects and/or initiatives would you support the car parking funds being used for, if not only for the establishment of centrally located car parking?</p>	<p>Car parking area maintenance/upgrades; public/community transport schemes; cycling and pedestrian connectivity and streetscape/urban design improvement projects.</p>