

COMPLETE

Collector: Web_Link_Prod (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, January 09, 2020 5:57:17 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, January 09, 2020 6:23:26 PM
Time Spent: 00:26:08
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Urban code. (click here for council areas)

Page 2: Planning and Design Code for South Australia Personal Details

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name	Howard Nicholson
Address	[REDACTED]
Your Council Area	Norwood Payneham & St Peters
Suburbs/Town	Royston Park
State	SA
Postcode	5070
Country	Australia
Email Address	[REDACTED]

Page 3: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with?

General Public

Page 4: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q4 Would you like to make comment on

Specific Topics for example : - Rules of Interpretation
- Zones and Sub-zones - Overlays - General Provision - Mapping Land Use Definitions - Administrative Definitions - Referrals - Table of Amendments

Page 5: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlaysclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzones click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

General Neighbourhood Zone

My feedback relates to the "Updated Code Classification". Particularly in relation to Accepted Development Classification Criteria. Specifically related to the criteria for carports. The criteria states at point 3. "Primary street setback – at least 5.5m from the primary street boundary and as far back as the building line of the building to which it is ancillary". I find this confusing. Is the criteria that any proposed carport will only be required to be 5.5M from the primary street boundary, or will the front of the carport have to be in line with the building line of the existing building? I have, for some time and without success, been trying to get approval to build a carport, sympathetic to the existing build, in front of my home. I have been told repeatedly by staff at the NP&SP council that I cannot construct any permanent structure closer to the road than the front of my home. This is despite numerous properties in the streets surrounding my location having just such structures. I need clarification on this issue. If the new criteria will allow me to erect the carport as required I have no further comment other than to say please make this clear in the criteria. If the new criteria will prohibit me from undertaking this addition I wish to raise strong objection to this. A number of councils close to NP&SP currently allow this type of re-development and even in my own suburb of Royston Park there are numerous examples where these types of structures have been allowed. To have a "blanket" ban on these type of constructions, without any legitimate reason (in terms of safety, aesthetic quality or utility) is petty in the extreme. I believe that these type of structures, where it can be shown that it will have no negative impact on the neighborhood should be allowed and would like to see the criteria updated to reflect this. If this does not happen it seems to me that councils which currently allow this type of development will be required to now reject such requests, which I am sure they will see as retrograde step. Thanks you for your consideration. Howard Nicholson

[Redacted signature]

Suburban Neighbourhood Zone

As above

Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policy click next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q12 Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitionclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q13 Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitionsclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

I think that planning reform is an excellent idea, however from a personal perspective I would like to see, in general, that planning rules become more, not less, accommodating to the requirements of ratepayers.

Page 12: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Respondent skipped this question
