

COMPLETE

Collector: Web_Link_Prod (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 28, 2020 7:33:21 PM
Last Modified: Friday, February 28, 2020 7:42:41 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:19
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q1 Which part of the Planning and Design Code would you like to make a submission about?(Please click the circle to select which part of the Code you wish to comment on. You can also see which council areas are included in the rural and urban code via the links below.)

My submission relates to Urban code. (click here for council areas)

Page 2: Planning and Design Code for South Australia Personal Details

Q2 Please provide your contact details below (Name, Postcode & Email are mandatory)Please be advised that your submission will be made publicly available on the SA Planning Portal.

Name **Jasmine**
Postcode **5076**
Email Address [REDACTED]

Page 3: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q3 Which sector do you associate yourself with? **General Public**

Page 4: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q4 Would you like to make comment on **Specific Topics for example : - Rules of Interpretation - Zones and Sub-zones - Overlays - General Provision - Mapping Land Use Definitions - Administrative Definitions - Referrals - Table of Amendments**

Page 5: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q5 Enter your feedback for Rules of Interpretation

Request the implementation of the code be delayed by a year

Second draft of the code be released for public consultation to ensure the State Govt has listened to the community.

I support change to the current practice of demolition teams removing all vegetation and instead retain where possible.

I support the requirements for at least one tree per development and the requirements for a minimum percentage of all blocks and land to have permeable landscaped garden areas.

I support increased rear and side setbacks to achieve minimum green space requirements, enabling more privately owned trees as well as street trees and other vegetation to be planted. Setbacks should be consistent with adjacent homes and permit the construction of footpaths that meet Disability Access Standards.

I have read and support the State Planning Commission's Update Report confirming that current lists of Significant Trees and Regulated Trees from Development Plans will be transitioned into the Code.

I do not support policy changes which will lead to inappropriate infill and a reduction in tree canopy e.g. smaller building sites.

I request that the Code include a new Overlay which includes policy on urban green cover and climate change adaptation specifically covering biodiversity.

I request that the Code recognise the existing tree canopy is an intergenerational asset, a primary factor in micro-climate cooling, helps to sustain habitat, biodiversity and neighbourhood amenity. Trees produce oxygen and filter pollutants contributing to the health of our environment.

I request additional policy which recognises that all large trees both indigenous and non-indigenous, whether in rural or urban environments have economic value and should be retained until dying of natural causes.

I request inclusion of specific policy regarding retention of Grey Box trees due to their endangered classification and the fact that they do not grow to a regulated tree size.

I request amendment of the Proposed Regulated Trees Overlay:

- To include reference to indigenous to the locality, important habitat for native fauna, part of a wildlife corridor or a remnant area of native vegetation and important to biodiversity of local area.
 - To change the test for retention of significant trees from "retained where they make an important visual contribution to local character and amenity" to "Significant Trees should be preserved"
 - In the case of significant trees to include the test of "all other remedial treatments and measures have been determined to be ineffective".
 - To make all tree affecting development application subject to public notification and consultation.
-

Q6 Enter your feedback for Referrals

Request the implementation of the code be delayed by a year

Second draft of the code be released for public consultation to ensure the State Govt has listened to the community.

I support change to the current practice of demolition teams removing all vegetation and instead retain where possible.

I support the requirements for at least one tree per development and the requirements for a minimum percentage of all blocks and land to have permeable landscaped garden areas.

I support increased rear and side setbacks to achieve minimum green space requirements, enabling more privately owned trees as well as street trees and other vegetation to be planted. Setbacks should be consistent with adjacent homes and permit the construction of footpaths that meet Disability Access Standards.

I have read and support the State Planning Commission's Update Report confirming that current lists of Significant Trees and Regulated Trees from Development Plans will be transitioned into the Code.

I do not support policy changes which will lead to inappropriate infill and a reduction in tree canopy e.g. smaller building sites.

I request that the Code include a new Overlay which includes policy on urban green cover and climate change adaptation specifically covering biodiversity.

I request that the Code recognise the existing tree canopy is an intergenerational asset, a primary factor in micro-climate cooling, helps to sustain habitat, biodiversity and neighbourhood amenity. Trees produce oxygen and filter pollutants contributing to the health of our environment.

I request additional policy which recognises that all large trees both indigenous and non-indigenous, whether in rural or urban environments have economic value and should be retained until dying of natural causes.

I request inclusion of specific policy regarding retention of Grey Box trees due to their endangered classification and the fact that they do not grow to a regulated tree size.

I request amendment of the Proposed Regulated Trees Overlay:

- To include reference to indigenous to the locality, important habitat for native fauna, part of a wildlife corridor of a remnant area of native vegetation and important to biodiversity of local area.
 - To change the test for retention of significant trees from "retained where they make an important visual contribution to local character and amenity" to "Significant Trees should be preserved"
 - In the case of significant trees to include the test of "all other remedial treatments and measures have been determined to be ineffective".
 - To make all tree affecting development application subject to public notification and consultation.
-

Q7 Enter your feedback for Mapping

Request the implementation of the code be delayed by a year

Second draft of the code be released for public consultation to ensure the State Govt has listened to the community.

I support change to the current practice of demolition teams removing all vegetation and instead retain where possible.

I support the requirements for at least one tree per development and the requirements for a minimum percentage of all blocks and land to have permeable landscaped garden areas.

I support increased rear and side setbacks to achieve minimum green space requirements, enabling more privately owned trees as well as street trees and other vegetation to be planted. Setbacks should be consistent with adjacent homes and permit the construction of footpaths that meet Disability Access Standards.

I have read and support the State Planning Commission's Update Report confirming that current lists of Significant Trees and Regulated Trees from Development Plans will be transitioned into the Code.

I do not support policy changes which will lead to inappropriate infill and a reduction in tree canopy e.g. smaller building sites.

I request that the Code include a new Overlay which includes policy on urban green cover and climate change adaptation specifically covering biodiversity.

I request that the Code recognise the existing tree canopy is an intergenerational asset, a primary factor in micro-climate cooling, helps to sustain habitat, biodiversity and neighbourhood amenity. Trees produce oxygen and filter pollutants contributing to the health of our environment.

I request additional policy which recognises that all large trees both indigenous and non-indigenous, whether in rural or urban environments have economic value and should be retained until dying of natural causes.

I request inclusion of specific policy regarding retention of Grey Box trees due to their endangered classification and the fact that they do not grow to a regulated tree size.

I request amendment of the Proposed Regulated Trees Overlay:

- To include reference to indigenous to the locality, important habitat for native fauna, part of a wildlife corridor or a remnant area of native vegetation and important to biodiversity of local area.
 - To change the test for retention of significant trees from "retained where they make an important visual contribution to local character and amenity" to "Significant Trees should be preserved"
 - In the case of significant trees to include the test of "all other remedial treatments and measures have been determined to be ineffective".
 - To make all tree affecting development application subject to public notification and consultation.
-

Q8 Enter your feedback for Table of Amendments

Request the implementation of the code be delayed by a year

Second draft of the code be released for public consultation to ensure the State Govt has listened to the community.

I support change to the current practice of demolition teams removing all vegetation and instead retain where possible.

I support the requirements for at least one tree per development and the requirements for a minimum percentage of all blocks and land to have permeable landscaped garden areas.

I support increased rear and side setbacks to achieve minimum green space requirements, enabling more privately owned trees as well as street trees and other vegetation to be planted. Setbacks should be consistent with adjacent homes and permit the construction of footpaths that meet Disability Access Standards.

I have read and support the State Planning Commission's Update Report confirming that current lists of Significant Trees and Regulated Trees from Development Plans will be transitioned into the Code.

I do not support policy changes which will lead to inappropriate infill and a reduction in tree canopy e.g. smaller building sites.

I request that the Code include a new Overlay which includes policy on urban green cover and climate change adaptation specifically covering biodiversity.

I request that the Code recognise the existing tree canopy is an intergenerational asset, a primary factor in micro-climate cooling, helps to sustain habitat, biodiversity and neighbourhood amenity. Trees produce oxygen and filter pollutants contributing to the health of our environment.

I request additional policy which recognises that all large trees both indigenous and non-indigenous, whether in rural or urban environments have economic value and should be retained until dying of natural causes.

I request inclusion of specific policy regarding retention of Grey Box trees due to their endangered classification and the fact that they do not grow to a regulated tree size.

I request amendment of the Proposed Regulated Trees Overlay:

- To include reference to indigenous to the locality, important habitat for native fauna, part of a wildlife corridor of a remnant area of native vegetation and important to biodiversity of local area.
- To change the test for retention of significant trees from "retained where they make an important visual contribution to local character and amenity" to "Significant Trees should be preserved"
- In the case of significant trees to include the test of "all other remedial treatments and measures have been determined to be ineffective".
- To make all tree affecting development application subject to public notification and consultation.

Page 6: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q9 Please enter your feedback for overlaysclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q10 Please enter your feedback for zones and subzonesclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q11 Please enter your feedback for general policyclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q12 Please enter your feedback for Land use Definitionclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q13 Please enter your feedback for Admin Definitionsclick next at the bottom of the page for next topic

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q14 Please enter your general feedback here

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Planning and Design Code for South Australia

Q15 Do you have any attachments to upload?(pdf only)

Respondent skipped this question
