

## **DIT:Planning Reform Submissions**

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Thursday, 17 December 2020 10:21 AM  
**To:** DIT:Planning Reform Submissions  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Revised Planning & Design Code  
**Attachments:** Submission.jpg

I am attaching my submission in relation to the Revised Planning & Design Code.

I would be obliged if you would acknowledge receipt of this email by Tuesday 22 December 2020.

[REDACTED]

cc Mark Basham  
Councillor for Northfield Ward  
City of Port Adelaide Enfield

TO: DIT PLANNING REFORM SUBMISSIONS

I wish my opinions on the Revised Planning & Design Code to be considered in this submission.

I have ticked the boxes that concern me.

- I oppose the proposal to cut minimum private outdoor open space for dwellings to only 24m<sup>2</sup>—it should be more, such as a minimum of 25% of site area.
- I oppose any proposal to lessen public consultation or taking away third party appeal rights that currently exist.
- I oppose the proposal to increase the allowable retail floor space in the proposed General Neighbourhood Zone.
- I oppose the proposed provisions in the Master Planned Neighbourhood Zone in Northfield and wish to see the existing provisions of the Northfield Suburban Neighbourhood Zone kept.
- I also wish to add other comments on a separate sheet or as part of my email submission.

My details are below and I understand they are not made public:

Name: [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED]  
Address: [REDACTED] LIGHTSVIEW  
Email: [REDACTED] (LOWER CASE)

Email to: [DIT.PlanningReformSubmissions@sa.gov.au](mailto:DIT.PlanningReformSubmissions@sa.gov.au)

**To be received by 5pm, December 18th 2020**

These proposals are mainly designed to increase living density, provide avenues for profit for retail and building developers and silence public debate and objection to them.

They fail to adequately consider lifestyle needs for both the present and the future. It is my belief that the nature if these proposed codes will lead to the creation of degraded (if not slum) neighbourhoods by 2045.

If the people proposing these changes and the legislator supporting them were required to live in the areas affected, they would get short shrift.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Lightsview 5085